Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

On March 17, 2014, new federal Medicaid rules for Home and Community Based Services (HCBS) went into effect. The rules impact many parts of HCBS. One of the most important topics is the places where HCBS can be provided.

Because HCBS programs are offered as alternatives to nursing and intermediate care facility services, the new rules make sure that HCBS are provided in settings that are not institutional in nature. To follow this rule, states must make sure that HCBS settings are part of a larger community, people are able to have choices about their service settings, and that people are assured their rights to privacy, dignity and respect.

States must evaluate their HCBS programs to determine the level of compliance with the new rules. The setting indicated on this form had initially been identified as requiring to go through the heightened scrutiny process as part of the compliance process. They were able to demonstrate compliance with the settings criteria by July 1, 2021, so they are not required to go through the entire heightened scrutiny process, but they are required to go out for public comment.

Additional information on Heightened Scrutiny can be found here: HCBS Settings Rule: Heightened Scrutiny

Setting Information

Site Name:	Ability and Choice Services, Inc.		Site ID:	341	
Site Address:	12411 South 265 West, Suite A, Draper, UT				
Website:	https://www.abilitychoice.org/				
# of Individuals	Served at this		# of Medicaid Individ	duals	
location regard	lless of funding:	47	Served at this location	n:	47
Waiver(s) Served:		HCBS Provider Type:			
☑ Acquired Brain injury		☑ Day Support Services			
☐ Aging Waiver		☐ Adult Day Care			
☑ Community Supports		☐ Residential Facility			
✓ Community Transition		☐ Supported Living			
☐ New Choices		☐ Employment Preparation Services			
Description of Waivers can be found here:					
https://medicaid.utah.gov/ltc/					
Heightened Scrutiny Prong:					
☐ Prong 1: Setting is in a publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment					
\square Prong 2: Setting is in a building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution					
☑ Prong 3: From the initial assessment, the setting was found to have the effect of isolating individuals from the					
broader community. The following is the area that was identified:					

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

☑ A.	. Individuals have limited, if any, opportunities for interaction in and with the broader community				
	and /or the setting is physically located separate and apart from the broader community and				
	does not facilitate individual opportunity to access the broader community and participate in				
	community services consistent with their person centered service plan				
	3. The setting restricts individuals choice to receive services or to engage in activities outside of the				
	etting				
☑ C.	_	has qualities that are institutional in nature. These can include:			
•		ting has policies and practices which control the behaviors of individuals; are rigid in			
		hedules; have multiple restrictive practices in place			
		ting does not ensure an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, and respect			
Onsite Visit(s) Co	nducted:	9/27/2019 (onsite), 3/3/21 (virtual), 6/16/21 (virtual)			
Description of Se	tting:				
_		n located in an industrial park. It is close to some community businesses such as a			
Chevron, Starbuc	ks, a Healt	h Food Store, and a couple of restaurants.			
Current Standing	of Setting				
☑ Currently Com	pliant: the	setting has overcome the qualities identified above			
• •		lan: the setting has an approved remediation plan demonstrating how it will come			
into compliance.	ine appro	ved timeline for compliance is:			
Evidence the	Setting	is Fully Compliant or Will Be Fully Compliant			
~		publicly or privately operated facility that provides inpatient institutional treatment; presumption of an institutional setting.			
Compliance:	☐ Met	☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant ☑ Not Applicable			
Prong 2: The sett	ing is in a	building on the grounds of, or immediately adjacent to, a public institution; the			
setting overcome	es this pres	sumption of an institutional setting.			
Compliance:	\square Met	\square Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant $\ oxedsymbol{oxed{\square}}$ Not Applicable			
Prong 3 A: The se	etting is int	egrated in and supports full access of individuals receiving Medicaid HCBS to the			
_	•	ng opportunities to seek employment and work in competitive integrated settings,			
~ ~	•	control personal resources, and receive services in the community, to the same			
degree of access	as individu	uals not receiving Medicaid HCBS.			
Compliance:	☑ Met	☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant			
		sit Summary (2019):			
Summary:		g is located in an area that facilitates integration with the greater community.			
•		s served are able to come and go as they please. Individuals regularly volunteer at			
	different	volunteer sites. Individuals were a part of the planning process. There was ample			

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

transportation utilized to get individuals into the community. During the first onsite visit, there were some concerns identified that the setting needed better planning in regards to activities to make them more meaningful to individuals to ensure integration into the greater community.

Remediation Plan Summary:

The setting implemented a process to ensure activities were meaningful and individualized. Each individual who attends the Day Program Hub has goals that are collaboratively assessed by themselves and their support team during the PCSP process. After we receive the PCSP documents from the Support Coordinator, programming goals are written to match what was designated by the individual and their team. Each goal has specific instructions, tailored to the individual being served, on how to support them as they are learning skills in the community or at the day program hub. Staff are required to review these and sign off that they understand the expectations prior to working with the individual. Staff are expected to engage each person's goals throughout each activity regardless of who else may be in attendance. The focus is on individualized progress in all settings.

Onsite Visit Summary (3/2021):

Individuals being served reported they had jobs and job coaches that supported them while they worked. Job sampling was conducted before COVID-19 restrictions were put into place. Leadership reported at this time, only necessary trips are taken into the community and they are limiting trips to within 15 minutes to limit the time spent in the vans. Virtual experiences had not been explored and individuals expressed they wanted to be in the community to a greater degree. The setting was provided with technical assistance on how to expand their community integration activities during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Remediation Plan Summary:

The setting provided training regarding employment, community access, self determination, the settings rule, and anti discrimination and harassment.

Onsite Visit Summary (6/2021):

The setting primarily uses company vehicles to transport individuals into the greater community beyond the local community that facilitates integration with the greater community. The setting facilitates community integration activities regularly for individuals and has a formal process for individuals to create their schedules and determine what they participate in each day. Individuals are able to choose who they participate in activities with. Community interaction and integration is encouraged by staff. Services are individualized when in the community. Competitive Integrative Employment is promoted and encouraged by staff.

Policy/Document Review:

The following were reviewed for compliance:

- ACS Policy and Procedure Manual
 - o 102.0 Mission Statement
 - o 203.0 Participant Bill of Rights
 - 204.0 Person Centered Services Planning
 - 401.0 Day Training Mission Statement
 - o 407.0 Activities

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

Staff Training Logs
 YouTube Trainings
■ Settings Rule
 Anti-Discrimination and Harassment

Prong 3 B: The setting is selected by the individual from among setting options, including non-disability specific settings.		
Compliance:	☑ Met ☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant	
Summary:	Onsite Visit Summary (2019): The setting does not restrict access to non-disability settings. The setting has an admission process that assesses individuals' needs and preferences and regularly reassesses to ensure services are provided in a person-centered manner. Policy/Document Review: The following were reviewed for compliance: ACS Policy and Procedure Manual O 204.0 Person Centered Services Planning	

Prong 3 C: The setting optimizes, but does not regiment individual initiative, autonomy, and independence in making life choices. The setting ensures an individual's rights of privacy, dignity, respect, and freedom from coercion and restraint. The setting ensures the individual has the freedom and support to control his/her own schedule and activities. **Compliance:** ☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant **Onsite Visit Summary (2019):** Individuals were able to move throughout the setting. There was no observed personal information posted in the setting. Individuals were able to eat when they wanted and were able to eat out as well. During the first visit in 2019, there was a concern that the setting did not facilitate the opportunity for individuals to control their personal funds. There was also a concern reported about staff using disrespectful language towards individuals served. No other restrictions were observed or reported. **Remediation Plan Summary:** The setting provided training on individuals' rights to access and manage their own funds. The **Summary:** setting provided training to staff and evidence of ongoing training in respective communication. Onsite Visit Summary (3/2021): There was a concern identified during the onsite visit that the setting had become overly restrictive during the COVID-19 pandemic. Restrictions were placed across the board and individuals were not given the opportunities to make any individualized decisions about the risks they were willing or unwilling to manage in regards to accessing the community. Staff reported that individuals are divided into groups based on their "functioning levels" and "capabilities" and it was reported that individuals are placed in these groups by the setting and they are not able to move between the groups. There were concerns that staff were restricting

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

when individuals were able to eat lunch and that only parents could request different lunch times for individuals served. There were no additional reports of staff using disrespectful language towards individuals served. Due to individuals not being active in the community, the State was unable to verify if individuals were able to manage their own funds while in the community during this visit.

Remediation Plan Summary:

The setting provided training regarding employment, community access, self determination, the settings rule, and anti discrimination and harassment. The State provided technical assistance on how to establish groups in a person-centered manner and move away from a segregating practice. The setting provided remediation of a new process based on individuals preferences and needs and gave individuals the ability to request to move groups.

Onsite Visit Summary (6/2021):

The setting provided access to the community on a daily basis in a way that was meaningful to the individuals served in the setting. Individuals reported they were learning how to use public transportation if that was something they were interested in and were in control of their personal funds and encouraged to interact with community members and make their own purchases when in the community. Groups were no longer based on functioning level, but were person-centered and individuals were able to participate in activities both at the setting and in the community with those that they chose to. There were no additional reports of staff using disrespectful language towards individuals served or any restrictions on access to food.

Policy/Document Review:

The following were reviewed for compliance:

- PCSP Training
- YouTube Trainings
 - Settings Rule
 - o Anti-Discrimination and Harassment
- Staff Training Logs
- Rec Fund Options Form
- ACS Employee Handbook
 - o 902.0 Orientation Training

Overall, the setting enforces the Home and Community-Based Settings Regulation requirements.		
Compliance:	☑ Met ☐ Remediation Plan demonstrating will be compliant	
Summary:	Overall, all segregating and institutional concerns were addressed through the remediation process and the State was able to validate that the areas were remediated through the validation visit process. Staff are trained on HCBS requirements both upon hire and ongoing. As indicated below, this setting will be reviewed through ongoing monitoring activities.	

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

Input from Individuals Served and Staff

	Surrence of interviews (2010):
	Summary of interviews (2019):
	Individuals reported they are able to participate in activities that are important to them in the
	community
	Individuals reported they make their own schedule and decide what activities to participate in
	Individuals reported they chose Ability and Choice as their provider
	One individual reported that staff said they can only spend money on Fridays
	Another individual reported that staff keeps money for them. Staff keeps money for all
	activities.
	One individual reported that staff does not always treat them with respect
	Summary of interviews (3/2021):
	Individuals reported they had the choice of what activities they participated in while at the day
Individuals	program
Served	One individual interviewed reported they could not go into the community because of COVID-
Summary:	
	One individual reported they missed going to the park and reported they had to stay indoors
	because of COVID-19
	Summary of interviews (6/2021):
	Individuals reported they got to go into the community often.
	Individuals reported they got to spend their own money.
	One individual reported they went out to TJ Maxx and the Dollar Store earlier that day. They are also learning to use the Trax to be more independent.
	One individual reported they have their own debit card and use it when they are in the
	community.
	Another individual reported they had just returned from the community before the interview.
	Individuals did not report any concerns with rules or restrictions.
	Summary of interviews (2019):
	Staff reported personal assistance is always provided in private.
	Staff reported that individuals make their own schedule and decide what activities to
	participate in.
	Staff reported they handle the money; individuals do not.
	One staff member reported new hires can be problematic in terms of not utilizing respectful
Staff	language. Other staff address it immediately.
Summary:	Another staff member reported that some staff can be abrupt in their communication with
•	individuals.
	Summary of interviews (3/2021):
	Staff reported that they went into the community in a limited capacity due to COVID-19.
	One staff member reported that those with job coaches were still accessing the community.
	Staff reported that leadership determined what group/rooms individuals were placed in.
	Rooms are separated based on level of care needs.

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

Staff reported that there was not much training occurring since COVID-19. There used to be monthly staff meetings, but those had not occurred during the pandemic and there had been nothing to replace them.

Summary of interviews (6/2021):

Staff reported individuals participate in job sampling when in the community

Staff reported that they are taking individuals into the community daily

Staff reported that they have enough vehicles to meet their transportation needs

One staff reported individuals are able to move between groups if they want to

Staff reported individuals are independent with their own money

Staff did not report any restrictions related to COVID-19

Ongoing Remediation Activities		
Current Standing: ☑ Currently Compliant ☐ Approved Remediation Plan		
Continued		
Remediation	☑ N/A for currently compliant	
Activities		
Ongoing Monitoring Activities	The State will use the following tools to ensure settings continue compliance with the Settings Rule criteria: Conducting individual served experience surveys Addressing settings compliance during the annual person centered service planning process Ongoing provider training and certification Monitoring through critical incident reporting	
	Case Management/Support Coordinator visit monitoringHCBS Waiver Reviews/Audits	

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Comments Received and State Response:

Stakeholder Workgroup Review: October 24 to November 28, 2022

No comments received

Summary of Public Comments Received and State Response:

Public Comment Period: October 24 to November 28, 2022

Comment:

One commenter stated that at the March 2021 site visits, the State observed the settings had become overly restrictive in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. The State found that these concerns were remediated by June of 2021 after a virtual visit. We had concerns that the State has not conducted in person meetings with clients and staff since 2021. Our state has experienced multiple COVID-19 surges since this time and it's unclear if the noted restrictions have either been lifted or put back into place. We would urge the state to complete an in person assessment to ensure compliance with the rule.

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

Response:

The State has a comprehensive virtual validation visit process in place to determine HCBS Settings Rule compliance. If at any time the State determines that the virtual process is not sufficient for a specific setting, then the State will make the determination that an in-person visit is required at that time. Once a setting has completed its remediation and the State has validated its compliance with the HCBS settings rule, it moves to the ongoing monitoring process.

Comment:

One commenter stated that they attend the ACS Draper Day Services. "I have been enjoying the off-site outings very much. The staff is very supportive. They are all very helpful and caring. They encourage me to choose for myself what I want to do and where I want to go. They plan a variety of places to go that I can choose from. I've been to many places that I have never been to before."

They continued with the following positive feedback; "I like day services. I missed it a lot during the pandemic. I'm glad things are better now and I can attend every weekday unless I have medical appointments."

Response:

Thank you for your positive feedback on the setting.

Comment:

The same commenter had additional feedback about their ability to get into the community to the degree that they choose due the setting only having one wheelchair accessible van. The van can only take 3 people in wheelchairs but there are 4 or more individuals that utilize wheelchairs at the setting. "So sometimes I can't go on the outing I want because of this. It's sometimes disappointing. Sometimes they reschedule the outing so those of us who wanted to go the first time can go the next time. I don't mind staying at the center sometimes because I have things that I like to do there. Sometimes they schedule an outing that is NOT wheelchair accessible. NO day program should do this. Instead we could write letters to these places and tell them the public needs accessibility. Thank you."

Response:

The State conducted a follow up interview with the commenter on December 6, 2022. The interview information has been added to the evidentiary package. The State was able to validate the individual is accessing the community at a frequency comparable to those not receiving HCBS services. The State agrees that the setting needs to address the commenters' concern around accessibility while in the community. The State has requested that the setting submit a remediation plan to address the concerns and will validate through a desk review. While the State has concerns with the commenters ability to access the community to the extent they prefer, the State has chosen to work with the provider directly on remediation. It is not believed that this comment/observation is indicative of a setting which is institutional in nature or one which necessitates review through the heightened scrutiny process.

Summary of Stakeholder Workgroup Recommendation:

Stakeholder Workgroup Review: October 24 to November 28, 2022

The Stakeholder Workgroup recommended that the heightened scrutiny packet is ready to be submitted to CMS (100% of those that responded).

Settings that Demonstrated Compliance by July 1, 2021

Utah's Recommendation

Date of Recommendation: 1/12/23

The State has determined the setting has overcome the effect of isolating individuals from the broader community and is in compliance with the HCBS Settings Rule.